Contents lists available at ScienceDirect







journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jpowsour

Hydrothermal preparation of LiFePO₄ nanocrystals mediated by organic acid

Jiangfeng Ni^{a,*}, Masanori Morishita^b, Yoshiteru Kawabe^b, Masaharu Watada^b, Nobuhiko Takeichi^a, Tetsuo Sakai^{a,*}

^a National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology, Kansai Center, 1-8-31 Midorigaoka, Ikeda, Osaka 563-8577, Japan
^b GS Yuasa Corporation, Nishinosho, Kisshoin, Minami-ku, Kyoto 601-8520, Japan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 21 July 2009 Received in revised form 2 November 2009 Accepted 2 November 2009 Available online 10 November 2009

Keywords: Lithium ion battery Cathode LiFePO4 Hydrothermal Nanocrystal Synchrotron radiation

ABSTRACT

Well-crystallized LiFePO₄ nanoparticles have been directly synthesized in a short time via hydrothermal process in the presence of organic acid, e.g. citric acid or ascorbic acid. These acid-mediated LiFePO₄ products exhibit a phase-pure and nanocrystal nature with size about 50–100 nm. Two critical roles that the organic acid mediator plays in hydrothermal process are recognized and a rational mechanism is explored. After a post carbon-coating treatment at 600 °C for 1 h, these mediated LiFePO₄ materials show a high electrochemical activity in terms of reversible capacity, cycling stability and rate capability. Particularly, LiFePO₄ mediated by ascorbic acid can deliver a capacity of 162 mAh g⁻¹ at 0.1 C, 154 mAh g⁻¹ at 1 C, and 122 mAh g⁻¹ at 5 C. The crystalline structure, particle morphology, and surface microstructure were characterized by high-energy synchrotron X-ray diffraction (XRD), transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and Raman spectroscopy, respectively. And the electro-chemical properties were thoroughly investigated by galvanostatic test and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS).

© 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Olivine LiFePO₄ has been regarded as one of the most promising cathode materials for advanced Li ion battery for use in high energy and power system as required for plug-in hybrid electric vehicles (PHEV) [1–3]. Although it displays plenty of attractive characters such as good electrochemical property, high thermal stability, and environmental benignity, the low electronic/ionic conductivity makes it not a competent candidate for use in high power devices.

Over the last decade, enormous attempts have been made to improve its conductivity to applicable level. A successful strategy is the preparation of LiFePO₄–carbon composite [4–7], where LiFePO₄ particles are incorporated into carbon network, bringing the total conductivity to a level similar to LiCoO₂. This LiFePO₄/C composite is generally synthesized by an in situ carbon-coating route during which the carbon decomposed from organic precursor deposits on the LiFePO₄ surface to form a high way for electron movement. An additional benefit arisen from this process is the inhibiting of particle fusion and growth by exotic carbon layer, which helps achieve high rate ability. Doping of divalent (Mg²⁺, Mn²⁺, Ni²⁺, Cu²⁺) or supervalent ions (Al³⁺, Cr³⁺, Zr⁴⁺, Nb⁵⁺) either in Li site (M1) or in Fe site (M2) has also been demonstrated to improve the electronic conductivity successfully [7–9], but so far the mechanism is still in great controversial [10,11]. Recently, the application of cutting-edge nanotechnology in the synthesis of LiFePO₄ has been demonstrated, too. With template, LiFePO₄ of particular morphology such as nanofibre or nanowire has been prepared, and it demonstrates potential for high power application [12,13].

Although the conductivity problem are solved to a large extent by methods mentioned above, application of LiFePO₄ still suffers from tough synthesis procedure resulting from instable nature of Fe(II). The popular strategy to synthesize LiFePO₄ is the hightemperature ceramic route under the inert atmosphere, which results in a final product frequently composed of impurities like Li₃Fe₂(PO₄)₃, Fe₂O₃, and Li₃PO₄ [14,15]. And it is also very difficult by such process to obtain fine and homogeneous particle that is regarded as a key factor for the power ability [16].

To obtain LiFePO₄ with fine particles for high power purpose, it is essential to take advantage of solution synthetic route such as precipitation [16], sol–gel [17], polyol [18], and hydrothermal [19–25]. Among them, hydrothermal reaction receives particular interest due to mild operating temperature, simple process, high crystallization of product, and the potential for large-scale production. It is also considerably convenient to control the properties of product by tuning experiment parameters such as temperature, pressure, concentration, and additive. In a pioneering attempt, Whittingham and co-workers displayed the synthesis of LiFePO₄ via hydrothermal reaction at 120 °C, but the product showed a low electrochemical

^{*} Corresponding authors. Tel.: +81 72 751 9611; fax: +81 72 751 9623. *E-mail addresses:* jfengni@gmail.com, jiangfeng.ni@aist.go.jp (J. Ni), sakai-tetsuo@aist.go.jp (T. Sakai).

^{0378-7753/\$ -} see front matter © 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jpowsour.2009.11.017

activity due to a severe displacement of Fe in Li site [19]. A following report by them demonstrated that the displacement of Fe and Li could be remarkably suppressed when arising temperature above 180 °C [20]. However, many displacement-free materials do not show satisfactory electrochemical behavior, probably due to grown particle size [20,21] or the presence of impurities [22,23]. Recently, Nazar and co-workers reported that LiFePO₄ nanocrystallites could be obtained under hydrothermal condition by increasing reagents concentration or adding organic additives like polyacrylic acid and ascorbic acid, but not citric acid [24]. However, their material does not show favorable electrochemical performance as expected, possibly due to grain agglomeration. Special strategy involving the application of surfactants has been demonstrated to effectively control the crystalline property and particles size of LiFePO₄, leading to a pronounced improved performance. A good case in point is the synthesis of C/LiFePO₄ core/shell nanomaterial via hydrothermal route using acetylene black as nucleus and dioxane as surfactant in the presence of polyethylene glycol [25]. The resultant material shows an excellent rate ability, but the high car-

bon content (52 wt.%) makes it not suitable for practical application. So far, it is still a significant challenge to prepare highperformance LiFePO₄ by a simple hydrothermal reaction. And the role that the organic additive plays in the crystalline and electrochemical properties has not been well appreciated. Herein we report the synthesis of phase-pure LiFePO₄ nanocrystal by a facile hydrothermal process mediated by organic acid, i.e. citric acid or ascorbic acid. The crystalline nature, particle property, and surface depending on additive have been investigated by high-energy synchrotron X-ray diffraction (XRD), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), and Raman spectroscopy. The electrochemical properties were thoroughly researched by galvanostatic test and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS).

2. Experimental

LiFePO₄ was prepared via the hydrothermal route from starting materials FeSO₄·7H₂O, H₃PO₄, and LiOH·H₂O in a molar ratio of 1:1:3. First, 0.03 mol LiOH aqueous solution was mixed with 0.01 mol H₃PO₄ at vigorous agitation to form white suspension of Li₃PO₄. And then a mixing solution of 0.01 mol FeSO₄·7H₂O and 1.67 mmol organic acid additive, i.e., citric acid or ascorbic acid, was added to the suspension dropwise, which resulted in a pH value \sim 4.6. The final products were labeled according to the additive quoted as F-citric and F-ascorbic. After stirring for 5 min followed by Ar-gas bubbling for 10 min, this suspension (~40 mL) was transferred into an stainless steel autoclave (Taiatsu Japan, inside volume 300 ml), and then reacted at 230 °C for 30 min. It should be noted the internal atmosphere of the autoclave was exchanged by Ar gas prior to heating, and that the time for the temperature rising to 230 °C is about 30 min. After reaction, the resultant light-green precipitation was collected, washed by water and ethanol for several times, and dried at 80 °C in vacuum. To improve the conductivity, the obtained material was further mixed with citric acid and treated at 600 °C for 1 h under Ar atmosphere. The final product contains about 2 wt.% carbon, as determined by TG analysis. For comparison, the blank LiFePO₄ (labeled as F-blank) was also prepared by the same procedure but no additive added over the hydrothermal step.

The XRD measurements were conducted using a large Debye–Scherrer camera with an imaging plate at the BL19B2 beam line, the synchrotron radiation facility SPring–8, Japan. A glass capillary of φ 0.3 mm was used as the holder for filling the sample powder. The diffraction patterns were recorded in the 2θ range of 0.01–78° with duration of 5 min. The wavelength λ = 0.7006 Å was

calibrated by using CeO_2 as standard material. The structural analyses were performed with the Rietveld method on the program Rietan-2000 [26].

SEM and TEM were performed to observe the particle morphology and size distribution. SEM images were taken on a JEOL JSM-6390 microscope at an accelerating voltage of 30 kV. TEM was performed on a JEOL JEM-3000F transmission electron microscope at an accelerating voltage of 300 kV. Raman spectroscopy was carried out to study the surface microstructure, using a RMP-320 (Jasco) spectrometer with Ar laser of 50 mW at 532 cm⁻¹.

For the electrochemical test, 80 wt.% as-prepared LiFePO₄ material, 10 wt.% ketjen black, and 10 wt.% polyvinylidene were blended in N-methylpyrrolidinon. The blended slurry was then casted on an aluminum foil followed by roll processing. Afterward, the electrode sheet was tailored to disks shape of φ 12 mm with a typical material loading of 5 mg cm⁻² and dried at 120 °C for 6 h in vacuum. A 2032 coin cell was assembled using the disk electrode as cathode in a dry room with a dewpoint below -60 °C. The anode is Li foil and the electrolyte is 1 mol L⁻¹ LiPF₆ in ethylene carbonate/diethyl carbonate (EC/DEC) (1:1, v/v). The cells were tested on a Keisoku Genki program-controlled test system in the voltage range of 2.3–4.2 V at 28 °C.

EIS was carried out with a Solartron SI 1280B electrochemical unit. The applied frequency range is 65 kHz to 0.01 Hz and the oscillation voltage is 10 mV.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. High-energy synchrotron XRD

Fig. 1 shows the XRD patterns of as-prepared three LiFePO₄ samples (F-blank, F-citric, and F-ascorbic). All the patterns show well-resolved diffraction peaks indexed to olivine LiFePO₄ (JCPDS No. 81-1173). This indicates that well-crystallized LiFePO₄ can be readily obtained via hydrothermal reaction at 230 °C in half hour. By contrast, Nazar et al. found that well-crystallized LiFePO₄ could be prepared only when hydrothermal reaction extending 5 h at 190 °C [24]. It is also found that the pattern of LiFePO₄ prepared without additive shows diffraction peaks at 10.1°, 10.6°, and 11.2° (Fig. 1a), which can be attributed to orthorhombic Li₃PO₄ (JCPDS No. 25-1030), while these peaks were not visible in those of the samples mediated by organic acid (Fig. 1b and c).

The structural refinement has been done for the synchrotron XRD data of as-prepared samples. The R factors and the structural parameters based on the Rietveld refinement of all three samples are summarized in Table 1, and the observed pattern and calculated one of the typical sample F-blank are presented



Fig. 1. High energy synchrotron XRD patterns of as-prepared LiFePO₄ samples: (a) F-blank, (b) F-citric, and (c) F-ascorbic.

Table 1The R factors and structural parameters of as-prepared LiFePO4 materials.

Sample	Phase	a (Å)	b (Å)	<i>c</i> (Å)	$V(Å^3)$	R _{wp} (%)	<i>R</i> _p (%)	S	Content (wt.%)
F-blank	LiFePO ₄ Li ₃ PO ₄	10.3379(2) 6.1153(8)	6.0037(1) 5.2394(6)	4.6977(1) 4.8683(5)	291.568 155.990	5.20	3.79	1.540	97.32 2.68
F-citric F-ascorbic	LiFePO ₄ LiFePO ₄	10.3351(1) 10.3378(1)	6.0052(1) 6.0027(1)	4.6976(1) 4.6989(1)	291.556 291.588	3.94 4.45	3.01 3.38	1.164 1.096	100 100



Fig. 2. The observed XRD pattern, calculated one and their difference based on the Rietveld refinement of F-blank sample. The Bragg positions of LiFePO₄ and Li_3PO_4 are also shown.

in Fig. 2. The refinement for F-blank is based on LiFePO₄-Li₃PO₄ two-phase model and that for the mediated materials is based on LiFePO₄ single phase model. All refinements give a small value of factors R_{wp}, R_p, and S, indicative of a good and reliable structural analysis. F-blank is composed of 97.32 wt.% LiFePO₄ phase and 2.68 wt.% Li₃PO₄ phase, while the mediated samples show single phase nature. This indicates that the growth of impurities like Li₃PO₄ can be effectively suppressed by organic acids, which may refers to their multiple effects on hydrothermal system such as pH value, solubility, complex ingredient and so forth. The olivine phase in F-blank has the lattice parameters of a = 10.3379(2)Å, b = 6.0037(1)Å, c = 4.6977(1)Å, and V = 291.568Å³, close to the values in references [1,24]. And the unit cell volume just falls in the range of 291.4 ± 0.2 Å³, consistent with the empirical rule for Fe displacement-free LiFePO₄ [20]. Similar parameters are also found in the other LiFePO₄ samples.

Table 2 lists the atomic parameters of the typical F-ascorbic sample. In LiFePO₄ lattice, Li ions occupy 4a site in one set of octahedra, Fe ions occupy 4c site in another set of octahedra, P ions and half O ions occupy 4c site, and the other half O ions occupy 8d site. It is worthy of noting that our attempt to refine the pattern assuming part Fe ion in Li site failed, indicative of no Fe ion occupying Li site.

When LiOH was added to mixture solution of $(NH_4)_2Fe(SO_4)_2$ and H_3PO_4 , the solution gradually changed from acidic to neutral and $Fe_3(PO_4)_2 \cdot 8H_2O$ precipitated, as mentioned in previous report [23]. But in our experiment, the feed order was changed; i.e., the

Table 2

The atomic parameters for F-ascorbic sample. Here g is the site occupancy and B is the overall isotropic atomic displacement parameter.

Atom	Site	x	у	z	g	$B\left({\rm \AA}^2 \right)$
Li	4a	0	0	0	1	0.5
Fe	4c	0.2817	0.25	0.9736	1	0.033
Р	4c	0.0952	0.25	0.4147	1	0.077
01	4c	0.1000	0.25	0.7462	1	1.052
02	4c	0.4498	0.25	0.2065	1	1.297
03	8d	0.1664	0.0423	0.2812	1	0.525

FeSO₄ solution was added to system of LiOH and H₃PO₄. The process can be expressed as the formation of Li_3PO_4 (Eq. (1)) followed by one unit Fe²⁺ ion replacing two units Li⁺ ions and crystallizing (Eq. (2)).

$$3\text{LiOH} + \text{H}_3\text{PO}_4 \rightarrow \text{Li}_3\text{PO}_4 + 3\text{H}_2\text{O} \tag{1}$$

$$Li_{3}PO_{4} + FeSO_{4} \rightarrow LiFePO_{4} + Li_{2}SO_{4}$$
(2)

Since Li ions number is far more than Fe²⁺ ion when reaction II close to the end, and the reaction is also limited by the conversion rate, the full conversion of Li₃PO₄ to LiFePO₄ is difficult to reach. When 1.67 mmol organic acid is added, the pure LiFePO₄ is obtained as the system changes from near neutral (pH \sim 6.7) to acidic (pH \sim 4.6). As is well known, reaction II generally proceeds by a dissolution-precipitation mechanism, which can be accelerated under acidic environment, because proton facilitates the dissolution process. Moreover, the reaction balance may also be adjusted towards LiFePO₄ direction by chelating effect of organic acid. For example, citric acid molecule may capsulate Fe²⁺ ion to form $[C_6H_8O_7Fe^{2+}]$, which further combines with $[Li^+PO_4^{3-}]$ to form $[C_6H_8O_7Fe^{2+}...Li^+PO_4^{3-}]$ ion pairs through the coulombic attraction and then crystallizes by following condensation. As a result, a single phase LiFePO₄ material was prepared. A similar mechanism was also proposed by Zhou et al. [27] when they prepared mesoporous $Li_3Fe_2(PO_4)_3$ using CTAB as a template.

From the above discussion, it can be concluded that the acidic effect influences the reaction rate, and the chelating effect adjusts the balance. It is both of these two effects, not any single one of them, that lead to the pure material. To confirm this, we carried out two additional experiments without organic acid to simulate the two effects, respectively. One case concerns merely the chelating effect which was inspected by using polyvinyl alcohol (PVA). Unfortunately, the corresponding XRD pattern (Fig. 3a) displays even more intense peaks due to Li₃PO₄ than F-blank, probably the conversion being blocked as crystallites are covered by PVA molecule. The other case concerns merely the acidic environment which was deliberately created by controlling the ratio of LiOH against H₃PO₄ to 2.5:1. The pH value is about 4.5, very close to that of the organic



Fig. 3. High energy synchrotron XRD patterns of LiFePO₄ prepared via hydrothermal reaction: (a) with PVA and (b) at Li:P ratio of 2.5:1.



Fig. 4. SEM (a-c) and TEM (d-f) images of F-blank (a and d), F-citric (b and e), and F-ascorbic (c and f). Inset of (f) shows the corresponding electron diffraction.

acid-containing system. This product does not comprise Li_3PO_4 but monoclinic $Fe_3(PO_4)_2$ (JCPDS No. 39-0341) (Fig. 3b), which is consistent with the previous report by Kanamura and co-workers [21]. So it is reasonable to conclude that the impurity-free material is the result of the convergence of acidic effect and chelating effect from organic additive.

A further hydrothermal synthesis of LiFePO₄ was carried out at the same procedure with 0.83 mmol citric acid. The XRD data and corresponding Rietveld refinement reveal that the impurity Li₃PO₄ decreases to 0.33 wt.% (not shown). The failure to eliminate the impurity is just because the citric acid is not enough to help complete the conversion from Li₃PO₄ to LiFePO₄.

3.2. SEM and TEM

Fig. 4a–c shows the SEM images of as-prepared LiFePO₄ materials. It is clearly seen that the organic acids also regulate the particles. The F-blank sample comprises primary particles about 100 nm and some large agglomerates up to 1 μ m, but the agglomerates are almost not visible in the mediated materials. Particularly, F-ascorbic consists of fine particles in a nearly mono-dispersive manner. The particle property was further characterized by TEM (Fig. 4d–f), and it is seen that the mediated sample is composed of nanocrystals which are uniformly dispersed with size about 50–100 nm (Fig. 4e and f), in violent contrast with the large agglomerates in F-blank (Fig. 4d). Electron diffraction (ED, inset of Fig. 4f) verifies the crystalline nature of these samples, in good agreement with XRD result.

It is believed that the difference in particle among these products is related to the adsorption of organic molecules or their decomposing derivatives on the crystal surface. These adsorbed molecules cover the surface of nanocrystals to prevent them from fusing together, leading to fine and dispersive particles [24,28]. Since the electrochemical behaviors of LiFePO₄, particularly the rate behavior, are largely determined by Li ion diffusion length within particle, the decrease of particle size definitely helps utilize the full capacity.

3.3. Charge and discharge performance

The electrochemical property was investigated by coin cell using Li foil as the count electrode. All the tests were implemented on three coin cells a batch, and the average results were adopted for the discussion. Fig. 5a shows their initial charge and discharge profiles. All the samples possess a flat plateau around 3.4V, agreeing well with the two-phase (LiFePO₄ \leftrightarrow FePO₄) transformation model. A close look can discover slopes near the starting and end discharge, reminiscent of the existence of small single-phase domain as reported by Yamada and co-workers [29]. The F-blank shows a discharge capacities of 128 mAh g^{-1} , whereas the mediated samples show improved capacities, 153 mAh g⁻¹ and 162 mAh g⁻¹ for Fcitric and F-ascorbic, respectively. Besides the high electrochemical activity, these mediated LiFePO₄ products also present enhanced cycle stability, as shown in Fig. 5b. For instance, F-ascorbic shows a stable cycling performance over 100 cycles at 0.2 C (34 mA g^{-1}) , remaining 158 mAh g^{-1} at the 100th cycle comparing with the initial capacity of 160 mAh g⁻¹. By contrast, F-blank displays a gradual capacity fading over cycles, 101 mAh g⁻¹ at the 100th cycle against an initial capacity of 121 mAh g^{-1} .

Fig. 6 compares the rate discharge capability of as-prepared three samples. As expected, the mediated LiFePO₄ samples display significantly enhanced performance over the blank LiFePO₄. In detail, F-blank discharges 128 mAh g^{-1} at 0.1 C, 97 mAh g⁻¹ at 1 C, and 62 mAh g^{-1} at 5 C, whereas F-citric delivers 153 mAh g^{-1} at 0.1 C, 139 mAh g^{-1} at 1 C, and 95 mAh g^{-1} at 5 C. Moreover, F-ascorbic is able to deliver 162 mAh g^{-1} , 154 mAh g^{-1} , and 122 mAh g^{-1} at 0.1 C, 1 C, and 5 C, respectively. It is worth noting that the mediated LiFePO₄ also shows a stable discharge plateau upon increasing current, especially for F-ascorbic. Note that a parallel test was also carried out, and the very close results confirm the good reproducibility of the tests.

The improvement in electrochemical property can be rationally attributed to two key factors resulted from organic acid, i.e., impurity elimination and particle regulation. Since Li₃PO₄ may not



Fig. 5. (a) Initial charge and discharge curves at 0.1 C and (b) cycling performance at 0.2 C of LiFePO₄ samples of F-blank, F-citric, and F-ascorbic.

only destroy the integrity of conductivity, but also be detrimental to the electrode/electrolyte interphase [30], its elimination definitely improves the electrochemical behaviors. On the other hand, the regulation of particle decreases the length of Li ions diffusion in crystal, which enables fast kinetics of Li ions to achieve a high capacity and rate ability [16]. Another consideration from the homogeneity of nanocrystals is the alleviation of lattice strain upon Li insertion/extraction, which may cause particle cracking and capacity fading over cycling [31].

3.4. Raman spectroscopy

As for the difference of electrochemical behaviors between Fcitric and F-ascorbic, it probably stems from the fact that ascorbic acid carbonizes upon hydrothermal process while citric acid does not. The carbon that is derived from ascorbic acid and loaded onto the surface of nanocrystal would be developed to a high conductive network in the following heating process. As a result, Fascorbic will show a better conductive ability than F-citric despite of the fact that both are subjected to carbon-coating process later. To confirm this proposal, Raman spectroscopy was conducted on samples directly from hydrothermal reaction, and the spectra are presented in Fig. 7. A strong peak at 950 cm⁻¹ accompanied with two other weak peaks at 995 cm^{-1} and 1069 cm^{-1} appears in all the spectra, which can be assigned to the stretching motion of PO_4^{3-} in LiFePO₄ [32], while peaks due to Fe_2O_3 or other Fe(III) impurity are absent [22]. Besides these peaks, the spectrum of Fascorbic comprises a hump around 1400 cm⁻¹ and a broad peak at 1575 cm⁻¹, indicative of the presence of carbon. The former can be



Fig. 6. Rate discharge capability of LiFePO₄ samples F-blank, F-citric, and F-ascorbic. The cells were first charged to 4.2 V at constant current of 0.1 C and then discharged to 2.3 V at a certain rate of current.

assigned to the D mode originating from amorphous carbon, and the latter the G mode associated with the movement in opposite directions of two neighboring carbon atoms in a graphene sheet [4].

3.5. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy was carried out to shed more light on the difference among these materials. The impedance was recorded on the coin batteries at different cycle stages, i.e. the fresh states and the state after 100 cycles. The corresponding Nyquist graphs of the spectra are presented in Fig. 8, and a simple equivalent circuit (inset of Fig. 8b) is established to simulate the spectra. The intercept at the Z_{real} axis in the high frequency refers to R_s , which includes electrolyte solution resistance and electric contacts resistance. The semi-circle in the high and middle frequency range is due to the charge transfer resistance (R_{ct}); and



Fig. 7. Raman spectra of LiFePO₄ samples of F-blank, F-citric, and F-ascorbic before carbon coating.

the sloping line in the lower frequency represents lithium-ion diffusion resistance in electrode bulk, namely the Warburg impedance. It is found that the R_{ct} shows the trend F-ascorbic < F-citric < F-blank, either in the fresh state or cycled state. This suggests a higher charge transfer rate (mainly due to higher conductivity) for LiFePO₄ mediated by organic acid, consistent well with their electrochemical properties. Note that the R_{ct} of all three electrodes decrease after cycling, possibly due to gradual percolation of electrolyte or other activating effects, as discussed in the previous report [33].



Fig. 8. Electrochemical impedance spectra of the electrodes containing different LiFePO₄ samples of F-blank, F-citric, and F-ascorbic under the fresh state (a) and after 100 cycles (b). Inset of (b) is the equivalent circuit established for the simulation of impedance spectra.

4. Conclusion

Well-crystallized LiFePO₄ nanoparticles were directly prepared via hydrothermal reaction operated at 230 °C for 30 min in the presence of organic acid. These mediated products show a single phase nature, as confirmed by high energy synchrotron radiation and corresponding structural analysis based on Rietveld refinement. SEM and TEM observations reveal that the LiFePO₄ mediated by organic acid consists of nearly homogenous nanocrystals with size of 50–100 nm, while the blank LiFePO₄ comprises some large agglomerates. Galvanostatic test shows that mediated LiFePO₄ exhibits a high electrochemical activity in terms of discharge capacity, cyclability, and rate capability. In particular, ascorbic acid-mediated LiFePO₄ can deliver 162 mAh g^{-1} at 0.1 C, 154 mAh g^{-1} at 1 C, and 122 mAh g^{-1} at 5 C. This adventure demonstrates the facile synthesis of high-performance LiFePO₄ via hydrothermal process in a short time, which is essentially feasible for other olivine materials. The attempt to decrease the operating temperature for making the hydrothermal synthesis not so critical is currently underway, which will further help expand its application in the preparation of electrode materials.

References

- A.K. Padhi, K.S. Nanjundaswamy, J.B. Goodenough, J. Electrochem. Soc. 144 (1997) 1188–1194.
- [2] A.S. Andersson, J.O. Thomas, B. Kalska, L. Haggstrom, Electrochem. Solid State Lett. 3 (2000) 66–68.
- [3] S.T. Myung, S. Komaba, N. Hirosaki, H. Yashiro, N. Kumagai, Electrochim. Acta 32 (2004) 4213–4222.
- [4] K.F. Hsu, S.Y. Tsay, B.J. Hwang, J. Mater. Chem. 14 (2004) 2690-2695.
- [5] A.V. Murugan, T. Muraliganth, A. Manthiram, J. Phys. Chem. C 112 (2008) 14665-14671.
- [6] Y.G. Wang, Y.R. Wang, E. Hosono, K.X. Wang, H.S. Zhou, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 47 (2008) 1–6.
- [7] S.Y. Chung, J.T. Bloking, Y.M. Chiang, Nat. Mater. 1 (2002) 123-128.
- [8] M.S. Islam, D.J. Driscoll, C.A.J. Fisher, P.R. Slater, Chem. Mater. 17 (2005) 5085–5092.
- [9] J.F. Ni, H.H. Zhou, J.T. Chen, X.X. Zhang, Mater. Lett. 59 (2005) 2361–2365.
- [10] P.S. Herle, B. Ellis, N. Coombs, L.F. Nazar, Nat. Mater. 3 (2004) 147–152.
- [11] H. Liu, Q. Cao, L.J. Fu, C. Li, Y.P. Wu, H.Q. Wu, Electrochem. Commun. 8 (2006) 1553–1557.
- [12] S. Lim, C.S. Yoon, J. Cho, Chem. Mater. 20 (2008) 4560-4564.
- [13] C.R. Sides, F. Croce, V.Y. Young, C.R. Martin, B. Scrosati, Electrochem. Solid-State Lett. 8 (2005) A484–A487.
- [14] S. Franger, F.L. Cras, C. Bourbon, H. Rouault, J. Power Sources 119 (2003) 252-257.
- [15] S.J. Kwon, C.W. Kim, W.T. Jeong, K.S. Lee, J. Power Sources 137 (2004) 93– 99.
- [16] C. Delacourt, P. Poizot, S. Levasseur, C. Masquelier, Electrochem. Solid-State Lett. 9 (2006) A325–A355.
- [17] J. Yang, J.J. Xu, Electrochem. Solid-State Lett. 7 (2004) A515-A518.
- [18] D.-H. Kim, J.k. Kim, Electrochem. Solid-State Lett. 9 (2006) A439-A442.
- [19] S.F. Yang, P.Y. Zavalij, M.S. Whittingham, Electrochem. Commun. 3 (2001) 505–508.
- [20] J. Chen, M.J. Vacchio, S. Wang, N. Chernova, P.Y. Zavalij, M.S. Whittingham, Solid State Ionics 178 (2008) 1676–1693.
- [21] K. Dokko, K. Shiraishi, H. Nakano, K. Kanamura, J. Mater. Chem. 17 (2007) 4803-4810.
- [22] K. Shiraishi, K. Dokko, K. Kanamura, J. Power Sources 146 (2005) 555–558.
- [23] G. Meligrana, C. Gerbaldi, A. Tuel, S. Bodoardo, N. Penazzi, J. Power Sources 160 (2006) 516–522.
- [24] B. Ellis, W.H. Kan, W.R.M. Makahnouk, L.F. Nazar, J. Mater. Chem. 17 (2007) 3248–3254.
- [25] A. Kuwahara, S. Suzuki, M. Miyayama, Ceram. Int. 34 (2008) 863-866.
- [26] F. Izumi, T. Ikeda, Mater, Sci. Forum (2000) 321–324.
- [27] S. Zhou, H. Zhou, T. Miyoshi, M. Hibino, I. Honma, M. Ichihara, Adv. Mater. 16 (2004) 2012–2017.
- [28] C. Lu, L. Qi, J. Yang, D. Zhang, N. Wu, J. Ma, J. Phys. Chem. B 108 (2004) 17825–17831.
- [29] H. Koizumi, N. Sonoyama, R. Kanno, A. Yamada, Electrochem. Solid-State Lett. 8 (2005) A409–A413.
- [30] D.Y.W. Yu, K. Donoue, T. Kadohata, T. Murata, S. Matsuta, S. Fujitani, J. Electrochem. Soc. 155 (2008) A526–A530.
- [31] D. Wang, X. Wu, Z. Wang, L. Chen, J. Power Sources 140 (2005) 125-128.
- [32] C.M. Burba, R. Frech, J. Electrochem. Soc. 151 (2004) A1032–A1038.
- [33] J.F. Ni, H.H. Zhou, J.T. Chen, X.X. Zhang, Electrochim. Acta 53 (2008) 3075– 3083.